

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/786,951	DENSHAM, DANIEL HENRY	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** 120

 (1) Bradley L. Sisson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kim Millar for Doren R. Pace, Reg. No. 38,261.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 November 2007
**Time:** 1405
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Millar, speaking on behalf of Mr. Pace, indicated that it is applicant's intention for this case to go abandoned as a continuation application has been filed and no further extension of time will be sought.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)